Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

Assessment ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George’s County

Inspection Date: November 5, 2018
Start Date/Time: November 5, 2018, 09:00 AM
End Date/Time: November 5, 2018, 10:00 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Mike Coleman -- Gilbane LLC (Assistant Site Superintendent)
Justin Hooper -- Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit/Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: Violation Follow-up

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, an unscheduled inspection was made at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Temperature today is in the mid-50s, cloudy and ongoing precipitation.

Visual observation and photos show ongoing discharge of sediment laden stormwater via unprotected stormdrain at the site today.

Recommendation;
Cease and desist the discharge of sediment laden water into the State waters. A follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight per my FIR on the 2nd of November 2018.

NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State/federal SWM

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** 16-SF-0061

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Continue Routine Inspection

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

**Inspection Findings:**
Visual observations and photo evidence show all the violations listed in the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report.
## State/federal SWM - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Inspector:**

Oladapo John  
Oladapo John/Date  
oladapo.john@maryland.gov  
301-665-2850

**Received by:**  
Signature/Date  
Mike Coleman  
Print Name:  
Jason Davis  
11/9/18

---
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Photo 13. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)

Photo 14. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)
Photo 11. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active storm drain onsite (State Waters)

Photo 12. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active storm drain onsite (State Waters)
Photo 9. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)

Photo 10. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)
Photo 7. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)

Photo 8. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)
Photo 5. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain on site (State Waters)

Photo 6. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain on site (State Waters)
Photo 3. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)

Photo 4. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)
Photo 1. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)

Photo 2. Ongoing pumping of Sediment laden Stormwater into active stormdrain onsite (State Waters)
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: November 2, 2018

Start Date/Time: November 2, 2018, 09:00 AM
End Date/Time: November 2, 2018, 10:30 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)
Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit/Approval Numbers: MDRCQG50K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Corrective Actions Required

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the project. Visual
observation shows ongoing construction of the facility Clinic and Health Center (Installation of the Steel and Beam)
South of the site, the new Stadium Tunnel North of the site, proposed Research Center and hauling of dirt off the site.

Visual observation and photographs shows lapses of erosion and sediment controls at various locations onsite today
and my recommendations to bring site to compliance.

Visual observation and photos shows sediment laden stabilize construction entrances uphill at the site. I recommend
contractor rough grade the entrances and add more aggregate stones as need be.

Photo evidence also shows unmaintained erosion and sediment controls (SSF) behind the chain link fence off Cole
Fieldhouse Drive. Mend super silt fence as need be to prevent sediment runoff to the exposed adjacent stormdrain off
the site on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.

Although no discharge during this inspection, visual observation and photos shows sediment laden filter bag with close
proximity to active stormdrain and in position to discharge sediment in the State waters in the event of rainfall. I
recommend replacing the filter bag per our conversation today.
Finally cease and desist the direct discharge of ground water encountered during excavation at this facility.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight.

### NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(l)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td>No Discharge During This Inspection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State\Federal SWM**

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** 16-SF-0061

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Additional Investigation Required
**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
Visual Observation

**Inspection Findings:**
Photo evidence shows the violations listed in the NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report and my recommendations to bring site to compliance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-103(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to OPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inspection Date: November 2, 2018
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742

Oladapo John
Inspector: ____________________________
Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: __________________________
Signature/Date
Mike Coleman
Print Name
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Photo 7. Sediment laden Stabilized Construction Entrance 2 Exit to the Car Park

Photo 8. Sediment laden Stabilized Construction Entrance 2 Exit to the Car Park
Photo 5. Sediment laden Stabilized Construction Entrance 1 Uphill towards Cole Fieldhouse Drive

Photo 6. Sediment laden Stabilized Construction Entrance 1 Uphill towards Cole Fieldhouse Drive
Photo 3. Muddy Silt Bag or Filter Bag with Close Proximity to the Stormdrain

Photo 4. Muddy Silt Bag or Filter Bag with Close Proximity to the Stormdrain
Photo 2. Shows Unmaintained and Compromised Super Silt Fence (SSF) off Cole Field House Drive
Photo 1. Shows Unmaintained and Compromised Super Silt Fence (SSF) off Cole Field House Drive
CERTIFIED MAIL/RESTRICTED DELIVERY

The Corporation Trust, Incorporated
Gilbane Building Company
2405 York Road
Suite 201
Lutherville Timonium, MD 21093-2264

RE: COLE FIELDHOUSE PROJECT AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND (UMCP)
(MDRCQSOK8/16-SF-0061)
Sediment Control, Sediment Pollution, Construction Stormwater Discharge Permit Al #149820
Compliance/Enforcement Assessment, Opportunity for Informal Meeting
[Response Requested within 14 days]

Dear Sir:

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) Water and Science Administration, Compliance Program is concerned about the construction activities at the Cole Fieldhouse Renovation and Expansion Construction Project located on Cole Fieldhouse Drive at the University of Maryland, Prince George’s County. Specifically, MDE is concerned about your failure to implement and maintain sediment controls as approved by MDE resulting in sediment pollution, and failure to complete self monitoring inspections as required by the NPDES General Discharge Permit for Stormwater Related to Construction Activity. NPDES Construction Permit MDRCQSOK8 was issued to Gilbane Building Company (Gilbane) on January 28, 2016. MDE Sediment Control and Stormwater Management Approval 16-SF-0061 was issued to University of Maryland January 19, 2016. All of these violations occurred at the New Cole Field House project located on the University of Maryland Campus in College Park, Maryland, Prince George’s County.

This letter serves as notice that the Department has completed a preliminary compliance assessment of the activities at the Site and contends that alleged violations, having or continuing to occur at the Site, meet the Department’s Significant Non-Compliance (SNC) Criteria and intends to proceed with a formal enforcement action that may include implementation of corrective measures, assessment of penalties and/or referring the matter to the Office of the Attorney General. However, before proceeding with an enforcement action, you are being provided the opportunity to schedule an informal meeting with the Department to discuss the alleged violations and provide any additional information for consideration.
The Corporation Trust, Incorporated
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The Department is committed to protecting and restoring the environment and has the responsibility and authority to ensure compliance with environmental laws and regulations. A primary goal of the Department is to attain and maintain a high rate of compliance by providing clear expectations and by ensuring environmental responsibilities are enforced within the regulated community consistently. When significant violations are observed or documented, the Department has an obligation to take equitable and timely enforcement action, reasonably necessary, to deter future violations from occurring.

The Department alleges that University of Maryland and Gilbane Building Company is responsible for the Violations of Titles 4-105, 4-413, 9-322, and 9-323 of the Environmental Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, and the regulations promulgated thereunder having occurred and documented on April 26th 2018 and thereafter are still ongoing.

- Title 4-105 of the Environment Article requires that a person obtain an approved sediment control plan, implement that plan, follow the sequence of construction shown on the plan, maintain the provisions of the plan, and implement any sediment control measures reasonably necessary to control sediment runoff.
- Title 4-413, of the Environment Article provides that it is unlawful for any person to introduce soil or sediment into waters of the State or to place soil or sediment in a condition or location where it is likely to be washed into waters of the State. Sediment is considered a pollutant under this statute.
- Title 9-322 of the Environment Article states that except as provided in this subtitle and Title 4, Subtitle 4 of this article and the rules and regulations adopted under those subtitles, a person may not discharge any pollutant into waters of this State.
- Title 9-323 of the Environment Article provides that prior to any operation that could cause or increase the discharge of pollutants into the waters of this State, a person shall obtain a discharge permit issued by the Department and comply with all the conditions therein.

The Department provided University of Maryland and Gilbane with Field Inspection Reports (FIR) beginning on April 26, 2018, documenting uncontrolled and unmaintained sediment controls and sediment pollution. The FIR’s clearly explained the necessary corrective actions required to bring site into compliance with both the NPDES and the State Sediment Control and SWM permits for construction activity respectively. Numerous follow-up inspections conducted by MDE at this site from May 2 through August 23, 2018 showed continued failure to implement and maintain sediment controls including direct pumping of sediment laden waters to active storm drains without adequate filtering or sediment controls, resulting in sediment pollution to waters of the State.
The Corporation Trust, Incorporated
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The Department is authorized under Titles 4-116, 4-417 and 9-342 of the Environmental Article, Annotated code of Maryland, to assess a civil or administrative penalty for the aforementioned violations.

- Section, 4-116 of the Environmental Article to assess penalties of up to $10,000/day for each sediment controls violations.
- Section, 4-417 of the Environmental Article to assess penalties of up to $25,000/day for sediment pollution violations.
- Section, 9-342 of the Environmental article to assess penalties of up to $10,000/day for water pollution violations.

When assessing an appropriate civil, administrative or criminal enforcement action that may include monetary penalties the violator and the Department must conduct a careful review of the factors outlined in the Environmental Article and listed below.

- The willfulness of the violation, the extent to which the existence of the violation was known to but uncorrected by the violator, and the extent to which the violator exercised reasonable care;
- Any actual harm to the environment or to human health, including injury to or impairment of the use of the waters of this State or the natural resources of this State;
- The cost of cleanup and the cost of restoration of natural resources;
- The nature and degree of injury to or interference with general welfare, health and property;
- The extent to which the location of the violation, including location near waters of this State or areas of human population, creates the potential for harm to the environment or to human health or safety;
- The available technology and economic reasonableness of controlling, reducing, or eliminating the violation;
- The degree of hazard posed by the particular pollutant or pollutants involved; and
- The extent to which the current violation is part of a recurrent pattern of the same or similar type of violation committed by the violator.

Each day that a violation continues constitutes a separate day of violation. The Department will ensure that each instance of noncompliance undergoes a review and is subsequently addressed after due consideration to the relevant facts and circumstances. Please be advised, the maximum penalty liability for each day the alleged violation occurred at this Site, if referred to the Office of the Attorney General for judicial enforcement action, is significant.

The Department requests that, within 14 days of receipt of this notice, you contact Mr. Scott Boylan, Division Chief, Western Division, Compliance Program at (301) 689-1480 or by email at scott.boylan@maryland.gov to schedule an informal meeting or alternatively, provide a written
response to the alleged violations for the Department’s consideration. If you fail or elect not to make contact, the Department will proceed with initiating a formal enforcement action. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely

Harry E. Hunsicker III, Director
Compliance Program
Water Management Administration
harry.hunsicker@maryland.gov

cc: Mr. Justin Hooper, Site Superintendent, Gilbane Building Company
    Mr. Corey Sarver, Senior Project Manager, Gilbane Building Company
    Mr. Christopher Ho, Facilities Manager - Capital Projects, University of Maryland
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County
Inspection Date: October 11, 2018
Inspector: Oladapo John
Start Date/Time: October 11, 2018, 12:20 PM
End Date/Time: October 11, 2018, 01:00 PM
Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM
Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)
                       Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active
Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Refer to Others (See Findings), Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Visual observation shows excavation of the site for the new structures (Buildings) and hauling of stockpile off the site. Despite my recommendation to install all erosion and sediment controls per the approved plan, photo evidence today shows direct discharge of groundwater and stormwater via an exposed stormdrain. Photo evidence also shows unused filter bag adjacent the unauthorized ongoing discharge.

Recommendation;
Install atgrade inlet protection per our conversation and channel both stormwater and groundwater via sediment tank or portable sediment tank (PST) prior to discharge into the stormdrain via the filter bag.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight.
## NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>No Violation: Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §8-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State\Federal SWM

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** 16-SF-0061

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Refer to Others (See Findings), Continue Routine Inspection

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
- Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
**Inspection Findings:**
Photo evidence show the violations listed in the NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report and my recommendations to bring site to compliance.

### State/federal SWM- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permits for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John

Received by: Mike Coleman

Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2830

Signature/Date 10/7/18

Print Name
Maryland Department of Environment  
Water and Science Administration  
Compliance Program - Western Division  
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740  
301-665-2850

AI ID: 14982C  
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse  
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742  
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: October 1, 2018  
Start Date/Time: October 1, 2018, 09:30 AM  
End Date/Time: October 1, 2018, 10:45 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistant Site Superintendent)  
Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit/Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected: Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
A follow-up inspection was made at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Visual observation shows ongoing hauling off of the exposed stockpile south of the site and the construction of new football practice house. Visual observation still shows mud clogged storm drain NW of the site off Cole Fieldhouse Drive due to sediment runoff uphill from sediment saturated paved surface onsite.

Recommendation:
Unclog stormdrain and protect as need be per our conversation today, I also recommend the cleaning of the sediment saturated paved surface onsite.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection, a follow-up inspection will be made next week.

NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other forms approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State/federal SWM
Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
**Inspection Findings:**
Photo evidence and visual observations of the site today still shows clogged storm drains NW of the site off Cole Fieldhouse Drive. Unclog storm drain per our conversation today and maintain all erosion and sediment controls per the approved plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John  
Oladapo John/Date oladapo.john@maryland.gov 
301-665-2850

Received by: Mike Coleman  
Signature/Date 10/2/18  
Print Name
NPDES Construction Activity
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Refer to Others (See Findings), Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Visual observation shows excavation of the site for the new structures (Buildings) and hauling of stockpile off the site.

Despite my recommendation to install all erosion and sediment controls per the approved plan, photo evidence today shows direct discharge of groundwater and stormwater via an exposed stormdrain. Photo evidence also shows unused filter bag adjacent the unauthorized ongoing discharge.

Recommendation;
Install atgrade inlet protection per our conversation and channel both stormwater and groundwater via sediment tank or portable sediment tank (PST) prior to discharge into the stormdrain via the filter bag.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight.
## NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>No Violation observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §6-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### State\Federal SWM
**Permit / Approval Numbers:** 16-SF-0061

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Refer to Others (See Findings), Continue Routine Inspection

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
Inspection Date: October 11, 2018  
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse  
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742

**Inspection Findings:**  
Photo evidence show the violations listed in the NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report and my recommendations to bring site to compliance.

### State/federal SWM- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John  
Olabapo John/Date  
oladapo.john@maryland.gov  
301-665-2830

Received by: Mike Coleman  
Signature/Date 10/17/18  
Print Name
Maryland Department of Environment  
Water and Science Administration  
Compliance Program - Western Division  
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740  
301-665-2850

AI ID: 14982C  
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse  
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742  
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: October 1, 2018  
Start Date/Time: October 1, 2018, 09:30 AM  
End Date/Time: October 1, 2018, 10:45 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)  
Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

**NPDES Construction Activity**

Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:  
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
A follow-up inspection was made at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Visual observation shows ongoing hauling off of the exposed stockpile south of the site and the construction of new football practice house. Visual observation still shows mud clogged storm drain NW of the site off Cole Fieldhouse Drive due to sediment runoff uphill from sediment saturated paved surface onsite.

Recommendation: 
Unclog storm drain and protect as need be per our conversation today, I also recommend the cleaning of the sediment saturated paved surface onsite.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection, a follow-up inspection will be made next week.

**NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State\Federal SWM**  
Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:  
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
Inspection Findings:
Photo evidence and visual observations of the site today still shows clogged stormdrain NW of the site off Cole Fieldhouse Drive. Unclog stormdrain per our conversation today and maintain all erosion and sediment controls per the approved plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John
Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: [Signature]
Date: 10/2/18
Print Name: Mike Coleman
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: July 26, 2018
Start Date/Time: July 26, 2018, 09:00 AM
End Date/Time: July 26, 2018, 10:00 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Michael Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistant Site Superintendent)
Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQ50K8

Site Status: Active
Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Today, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the project. Temperature today is in the mid-80s, clear and high humidity. Visual observation shows ongoing construction of the practice house, tunnel construction and the foundation footings for the other buildings.

Visual inspection and photos still shows few violations, overall contractor made some effort to bring site to compliance.

Despite recommendations made in my previous reports to install appropriate protection at the curb inlet on Cole Fieldhouse Drive, there has been a consistent pattern of exposing the stormdrain because of the proximity downhill from the site for sediment laden stormwater runoff and discharge.

Recommendation;
Per our conversation today, install all erosion and sediment per the approved plan and maintained as need be.
Furthermore replace the worn out or torn filter fabric prior to adding 57 stones.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight.
### NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(g)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State\federal SWM

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** 16-SP-0061

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Corrective Actions Required

**Recommended Action:** Additional Investigation Required

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**

---

*Page 2*
**Inspection Findings:**
Photo evidence show the violations listed in the NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report and my recommendations to bring site to compliance.

### State/Federal SWM-Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inspector:** Oladapo John  
Oladapo John/Date  
oladapo.john@maryland.gov  
301-665-2850  

**Received by:**  
Signature/Date  
Mikel Coleman  
7/31/18
Photo 1. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 2. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site
Photo 3. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 4. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site
Photo 5. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 6. View of sediment track off the site
Photo 7. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control SW of the site

Photo 8. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control SW of the site
Photo 9. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control SW of the site

Photo 10. Exposed curb stormdrain off Cole Fieldhouse Road downhill from site
Photo 11. Exposed curb storm drain off Cole Fieldhouse Road downhill from site

Photo 12. Storm drain covered with gutter body and rustic metal plate
Photo 13. Sediment in position to pollute stormdrain.

Photo 14. Sediment in position to pollute stormdrain.
Photo 15. Sediment and Debris placed NW of the site on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.
**NPDES Construction Activity**

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** MDRCQ80K8

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
- Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

**Inspection Findings:**
This date, a follow inspection was made at the above site to determine the compliance status of the project. Temperature today is in the mid-80s, clear and low humidity. Visual observation shows ongoing construction of a Cole field practice building, boring of the tunnel north of the site and foundation footing of the proposed buildings south of the site. Visual observation and photo evidence still shows contractor is yet to install the required E and S controls per the approved plan and my recommendations on the 12th of this month.

**Recommendation:**
Install the required stabilize construction entrances (SCE) on Cole Fieldhouse Drive, mend or replace all failing controls including super silt fence (SSF), silt fence (SF) and other erosion and sediment control structures per the MDE approved plan and specification.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A follow-up inspection will be made next week.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article 89-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State/federal SWM**

Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:

Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
Inspection Date: July 19, 2018  
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse  
Facility Address: 4900 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742

Inspection Findings:
Photo evidence still show violations listed in my field inspection report on the 15th of June 2018. 12th of this month and today. See the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report with my recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John  
Oladapo John/Date  
oladapo.john@maryland.gov  
301-665-2850

Received by: Mike Coleman  
Signature/Date  
7/35/18  
Print Name

Page 3
Photo 1. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NE of the site.

Photo 2. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NE of the site.
Photo 3. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan with sediment track off the site NE of the side.

Photo 4. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan with sediment track off the site NE of the side.
Photo 5. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan with sediment track off the site NE of the site.

Photo 6. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan South side of the site.
Photo 7. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan South side of the site

Photo 8. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan South side of the site
Photo 9. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 10. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control SW of the site
Photo 11. Exposed curb storm drain off Cole Fieldhouse Road downhill from site

Photo 12. Sediment Track on Paved Road
Photo 13. Sediment Track and exposed stockpile on Paved Road
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Cladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: July 12, 2018
Start Date/Time: July 12, 2018, 09:00 AM
End Date/Time: July 12, 2018, 10:30 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/Federal SWM
Contact(s): Michael Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistant Site Superintendent)
           Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Today, I made a routine scheduled inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the project. Temperature today is in the mid-80s, clear and lower humidity. Visual observation shows ongoing construction of a sectional building steel frame, foundation footing of the proposed buildings south of the site and boring of the tunnel north of the site.

Visual observation and photo evidence still shows all violations listed in my field inspection reports on the 15th of June 2018.
Furthermore photo evidences shows ongoing pumping of sediment polluted groundwater in an excavated area on site considered foundation footing for one of the proposed building into an active stormdrain via connected hose. Visual observation also shows sediment track off the site with pollution imminent in the event of rainfall.

RECOMMENDATION:
- Per my phone conversation with Michael Coleman of Gilbane LLC, install the required stabilize construction entrances (SCE) on Cole Fieldhouse Drive, mend or replace all failing controls including super silt fence (SSF), silt fence (SF) and other erosion and sediment control structures per the MDE approved plan and specifications.
Cease and desist the direct discharge of sediment laden groundwater and stormwater into active stormdrain. Channel encountered ground water to filtration tank and monitor the pH and TSS prior to discharge into the active stormdrain.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A copy of this field report will be forwarded to the District Manager and Chief of the compliance program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater</td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls</td>
<td>Out of</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage,</td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.41(b)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly</td>
<td>Out of</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.4(c)]</td>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater</td>
<td>Out of</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other</td>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR 450.21(d,e)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State/federal SWM**

Permit/Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance
Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected: Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Visual observations and photo evidence today still show violations listed in my field inspection report on the 15th of June 2018 and today. See the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report with my recommendations. A follow-up inspection will be made next week.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Is a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Item</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John

Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: [Signature/Date]

Print Name: Mike Coleman
Photo 1. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NE of the site.

Photo 2. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NE of the site.
PHOTO 3. Sediment filter bag saturated with mud, placed stack on used filter bags pumped off the site North Side.

PHOTO 4. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NW of the site.
Photo 5. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NW of the site.

Photo 6. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NW of the site.
Photo 7. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 8. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control SW of the site
Photo 9. Sediment track off the site to the parking lot South of the site.

Photo 10. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Photo 11. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.

Photo 12. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Photo 13. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active storm drain onsite.

Photo 14. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active storm drain onsite.
Photo 15. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.

Photo 16. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Photo 17. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.

Photo 18. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Photo 19. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.

Photo 20. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Photo 21. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.

Photo 22. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Photo 23. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.

Photo 24. Ongoing pumping of muddy groundwater from foundation footing into active stormdrain onsite.
Maryland Department of Environment  
Water and Science Administration  
Compliance Program - Western Division  
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740  
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820  Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse  
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742  
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: July 2, 2018  
Start Date/Time: July 2, 2018, 10:05 AM  
End Date/Time: July 2, 2018, 11:15 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State\federal SWM

Contact(s): Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)  
Michael Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistant Site Superintendent)  
Jim Craig – MDE

NPDES Construction Activity  
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:  
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:  
This date, the district manager (Jim Craig) and I conducted a follow-up inspection with the aforementioned individuals at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Temperature today is in the mid-90s clear and very humid. Visual observation still shows ongoing construction of the steel beams and frames for the Cole Field House, excavation of the foundation footings for the proposed buildings, Boring and construction of the new stadium tunnel. Visual observation and photo evidence still shows all violations listed in my field inspection reports on the 15th of June 2018.

RECOMMENDATION:  
Per our conversation today, install the required Stabilize Construction Entrances (SCE) on the site per the approved plan, mend or replace all failing controls including super silt fence (SSF), silt fence (SF) and other erosion and sediment control structures per the MDE approved plan and specifications.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (excluding concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State/federal SWM**

Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:

Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
Inspection Findings:
Visual observations and photo evidence still show all the violations in my previous field inspection report on the 15th of June 2018. See the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report with my recommendations. A follow-up inspection will be made on the 12th of July 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John
Oladapo John/Date oladapo.john@maryland.gov 301-665-2850

Received by: [Signature/Date]
Photo 1. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NE of the site.

Photo 2. Stabilize Construction Entrance without aggregate stones tracking mud on paved road SW site of site.
Photo 3. Stabilize Construction Entrance without aggregate stones tracking mud on paved road SW site of site

Photo 4. Stabilize Construction Entrance without aggregate stones tracking mud on paved road SW site of site
Photo 5. Stabilize Construction Entrance without aggregate stones tracking mud on paved road SW site of site.

Photo 6. Required SCE not installed per the approved plan NW of the site.
Photo 7. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 8. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site
Photo 9. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site

Photo 10. View of the deficient erosion and sediment control South of the site
Photo 11. Exposed area of the site adjacent the Tunnel project N side, with a view of the Gossette Building

Photo 12. Exposed area of the site adjacent the Tunnel project N side, with a view of the Gossette Building
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladosu John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: June 22, 2018
Start Date/Time: June 22, 2018, 10:00 AM
End Date/Time: June 22, 2018, 11:25 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM
Contact(s): Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit/Approval Numbers: MDRCQ50K8

Site Status: Active
Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)
Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Today, I conducted a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Temperature today is in the mid-70s, clear with slight precipitation. Visual observation still shows ongoing construction of the steel beams, construction of the new stadium tunnel and excavation of the foundation footings for the proposed buildings. My inspection with photo evidence still shows all violations listed in my field inspection reports on the 15th of June 2018.

Recommendation:
Install the SSF per the MDE and approved plan specifications and remove dirt or stockpile from the controls. Per our conversation today install all required controls per the modified plan and add more stones to the existing SCE (stabilize construction entrance)

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection, a follow-up inspection will be made next week.

NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


### NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>Status Unavailable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>Status Unavailable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(c)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State/federal SWM**

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** 16-SF-0061

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**

Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
Inspection Findings:
Photo evidence and visual observations of the site today still show all and more violations in my previous field inspection report on the 15th of June 2018. See the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(0)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John
Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: Justin Hooper
Signature/Date
Print Name

Page 3
Photo 1. Sediment Runoff off site NW on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.

Photo 2. Sediment Runoff off site NW on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.
Photo 3. Sediment Runoff off site NW on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.

Photo 4. View of the SCE with sediment track NW corner of the site on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.
Photo 5. View of sediment track of the site NW corner on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.

Photo 6. View of sediment track of the site NW corner on Cole Fieldhouse Drive.
Photo 7. Super Silt Fence overwhelmed with pile of sediment NE of the site

Photo 8. Exposed and unmaintained E and S (Atgrade Inlet protection) North of the Site
Photo 9. Accumulated silt and sediment at the E and S control footings at the Slope of the Tunnel Project

Photo 10. Accumulated silt and sediment at the E and S control footings at the Slope of the Tunnel Project
Photo 11. Accumulated silt and sediment at the E and S control footings at the Slope of the Tunnel Project

Photo 12. Stabilized Construction Entrance with little or no stones despite ongoing rain event.
Photo 13. Stabilized Construction Entrance with little or no stones despite ongoing rain event.
Photo 14. Stabilized Construction Entrance with little or no stones despite ongoing rain event.
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820  Inspector: Oladapo John
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: June 15, 2018  Start Date/Time: June 15, 2018, 08:30 AM
End Date/Time: June 15, 2018, 09:20 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM
Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)
Justin Hoover – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)
Craig Daugherty – Strittmatter Contracting (Sub-Contractor)

---

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit/Approval Numbers: MDRCQSO8K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Visual observation still shows ongoing construction of the steel beams, excavation of the foundation footings for the new buildings and construction of the new stadium tunnel. Inspection today shows contractor stabilized the steep slope north of the site by adding aggregate clean stones. Further walkthrough of the site shows failing sediment and erosion controls (super silt fence) north side overwhelmed with pile of sediment and proximity to impact the adjacent stormdrain on Cole Fieldhouse Road in the event of rainfall.

Recommendation:
Install the SSF per the MDE and approved plan specifications and remove dirt or stockpile from the controls.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection, a follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight.

---

NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State/federal SWM
- Permit/Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

- **Site Status**: Active
- **Site Condition**: Noncompliance
- **Recommended Action**: Additional Investigation Required
- **Inspection Reason**: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)
- **Evidence Collected**: Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation
Inspection Findings:
Photo evidence and visual observation of the site today shows the violation in bold in the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report.

### State/federal SWM- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John
Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: [Signature/Date]
Justin Hooper
Print Name
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Photo 1. Super Silt Fence overwhelmed with pile of sediment North of the site

Photo 2. Super Silt Fence overwhelmed with pile of sediment North of the site
Photo 3. Super Silt Fence overwhelmed with pile of sediment North of the site

Photo 4. Super Silt Fence overwhelmed with pile of sediment North of the site
Photo 5. Super Silt Fence overwhelmed with pile of sediment North of the site

Photo 6. Exposed soil placed in position to pollute State waters via stormdrain in the event of rainfall North of the site.
Photo 7. Exposed and unmaintained E and S (At grade inlet protection) North of the Site
**NPDES Construction Activity**

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** MDRCQSOK8

**Site Status:** Active

**Site Condition:** Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Additional Investigation Required

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

**Inspection Findings:**
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the project. Visual observation still shows ongoing excavation of foundation footing for the proposed outdoor athletic field, the facility clinic and research center. North side of the site visual observation shows boring and construction of the new tunnel walkway. Photo evidence still shows all violations listed in my field inspection reports on the 18th of May 2018

---

**NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(g)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State\federal SWM
Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Visual observations and photo evidence still shows all the deficiencies and violations listed in my field inspection report on the 18th of May 2018. A follow-up inspection will be made in a fortnight.

State\federal SWM - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Item</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there a Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John
Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: Justin Hogue
Signature Date
Print Name
Photo 1. Ongoing pumping of sediment-laden stormwater into storm drain on Cole Fieldhouse Drive NW off site.

Photo 2. Sediment filter bag placed on exposed soil NW of the site near the sediment tank going into storm drain.
Photo 3. Sediment filter bag placed on exposed soil NW of the site near the sediment tank going into stormdrain

Photo 4. Sediment filter bag placed on exposed soil NW of the site near the sediment tank going into stormdrain
Photo 5. Sediment filter bag placed on exposed soil NW of the site near the sediment tank going into stormdrain
Photo 6. Sediment laden runoff from site to stormdrain on Cole Field Drive in photo 1 off the site.
Photo 7. Sediment laden runoff from site to stormdrain on Cole Field Drive in photo 1 off the site.

Photo 8. Sediment laden runoff from site to stormdrain on Cole Field Drive in photo 1 off the site.
Photo 9. Sediment laden runoff from site to stormdrain on Cole Field Drive in photo 1 off the site.

Photo 10. Sediment laden runoff from site to stormdrain on Cole Field Drive in photo 1 off the site.
Photo 11. Sediment laden runoff from site to stormdrain on Cole Field Drive in photo 1 off the site.
Photo 12. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 13. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Maryland Department of Environment  
Water and Science Administration  
Compliance Program - Western Division  
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740  
301-665-2850  

AI ID: 149820  
Inspector: Oladapo John  

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse  
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742  
County: Prince George's County  

Inspection Date: May 25, 2018  
Start Date/Time: May 25, 2018, 09:45 AM  
End Date/Time: May 25, 2018, 11:00 AM  

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM  
Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)  
Craig Daughtery – Strittmatter Contracting (Sub-Contractor)  
Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)  

NPDES Construction Activity  
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8  

Site Status: Active  
Site Condition: Noncompliance  

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)  

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)  

Evidence Collected:  
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation  

Inspection Findings:  
Today, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the project. Visual observation still shows ongoing excavation of foundation footing for the proposed outdoor athletic field, the facility clinic and research center. North side of the site visual observation shows boring and construction of the new tunnel walkway. Visual observation and photos shows still shows all violations listed in my field inspection reports on the 18th of May 2018.  

NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State\Federal SWM

Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Refer to Others (See Findings)

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Inspection Findings:
Photo evidence and visual observations of the site today still show most of the violations listed in my previous field inspection report on the 18th of May 2018. See the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report. A follow-up inspection will be made next week.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(f)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (If applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John  
Oladapo John/Date  
oladapo.john@maryland.gov  
301-665-2850  

Received by: Justin Hooper  
Signature/Date  
Print Name  

Report Provided to:  
| Fax  
| Email  
| Regular Mail  
| Certified Mail
Photo 1. SSS not properly trenched to the ground at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 2. Accumulated silt and sediment at the E and S control footings at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 3. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 4. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 5. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site.

Photo 6. Exposed soil and unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site.
Photo 7. Exposed soil and unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 8. Exposed soil and unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 9. Exposed and unmaintained E and S [Atgrade inlet protection] North of the Site
Photo 10. Exposed and unmaintained E and S (At grade inlet protection) North of the Site
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: May 18, 2018
Start Date/Time: May 18, 2018, 09:00 AM
End Date/Time: May 18, 2018, 10:30 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State\federal SWM

Contact(s): Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)
Steve Stoneburner – Gilbane LLC.

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active
Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing.
Temperature today is in the mid-60s, clear and slight precipitation. My inspection started at the new tennis court.
Visual observation and photos shows sod stabilization at the immediate area near the adjacent SWM pond and mulch
hydro-seeding in other areas.

New Tennis Court
Photo evidence still shows exposed dirt pile placed near stormdrain and parking lot off the site.

At The Cole Fieldhouse;
Visual observation shows ongoing boring of the tunnel with little or no grading activities. Also observed active
pumping of both storm and groundwater from the ongoing tunnel work area into excavated trench and foundation
footing onsite and simultaneously pumping into the filtration tank onsite prior discharge via filter bag.

Visual observation north of the site at the steep slope shows sediment laden stormwater runoff to the Gossett Football
Team House and parking lot off the site due to compromised sediment and erosion control and inadequate stabilization
Photo evidence also shows sediment laden discharge via a stormdrain lacking proper atgrade inlet protection.
Recommendation:
- Stabilize or remove the exposed dirt near storm drain and parking lot at the new tennis court.
- Carryout adequate stabilization of the steep slope and reinstall the failing erosion and sediment controls per our conversation today.
- The at grade inlet protection should be installed per MDE approved specification.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection a follow-up inspection will be made next week.

### NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.06.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by the Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State/federal SWM
Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active
Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Continue Routine Investigation

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/ Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Visual observations and photo evidence show all the violations listed in the above NPDSS Construction Activity field inspection report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inspection Date: May 18, 2018
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742

Inspector: Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: Justin Hooper
Signature/Date
Print Name
Photo 1. Shows sod stabilization adjacent the storm water management pond at the new tennis court

Photo 2. Shows sod and mud at the parking lot adjacent the sport center and the Curb Storm Drain
Photo 3. Shows sod and mud at the parking lot adjacent the sport center and the Curb storm Drain.

Photo 4. Shows filter bag placed on exposed soil adjacent the tunnel project and the Slope North of the site.
Photo 5. Shows filter bag placed on exposed soil adjacent the tunnel project and the slope North of the site.

Photo 6. Unmaintained E and S controls at the slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site.
Photo 7. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 8. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 9. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 10. Unmaintained E and S controls at the slope of the Tunnel Project North of the site.
Photo 11. Sediment laden stormwater runoff from site to the stormdrain at Gossett Football Team House lot.

Photo 12. Sediment laden stormwater runoff from site to the stormdrain at Gossett Football Team House lot.
Photo 13. Sediment laden stormwater runoff from site to the stormdrain at Gossett Football Team House lot.
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: May 10, 2018
Start Date/Time: May 10, 2018, 10:30 AM
End Date/Time: May 10, 2018, 11:42 AM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)
Craig Daugherty – Strittmatter Contracting (Sub-Contractor)
Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

**NPDES Construction Activity**

**Permit / Approval Numbers:** MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

**Inspection Findings:**
This date, a follow-up inspection was made at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Observation today shows ongoing boring of the tunnel and excavation for the foundation footing of the proposed outdoor athletic field, the facility clinic and research center.

Visual observation shows contractor made effort to correct some of the listed violations in my field inspection reports on both the 26th of April 2018 and 2nd of May respectively, photo evidence still shows contractor had not made effort to stabilized the exposed area within the LOD at the new tennis court adjacent the existing SWM pond that runs into the Paint Branch Stream.

Recommendation;
Per my conversation with the aforementioned individuals today with exception of Justin Hooper, mend or remove the failing super silt fences (SSF) at the steep slope near the ongoing tunnel work north of the site, add more stones to the stabilized construction entrance on Cole Fieldhouse road, finally stabilize the exposed area at the new tennis court.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection a follow-up inspection will be made next week.
### NPDES Construction Activity-Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(h)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State/Federal SWM**

Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

**Recommended Action:** Additional Investigation Required

**Inspection Reason:** Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

**Evidence Collected:**
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

**Inspection Findings:**
Photo evidence and visual observations of the site today show the violations in the above NPDES construction activity.
field inspection report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(y)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: John Oladapo
Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: [Signature/Date]

Print Name
Photo 1. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 2. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 3. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 4. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 5. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 6. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 7. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 8. Shows undermined SSF and exposed soil adjacent stormwater management pond at the tennis court.
Photo 9. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 10. Stabilized Construction Entrance on Cole Fieldhouse Drive NW of the site.
Photo 11. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 12. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 13. Stabilized Construction Entrance on Cole Fieldhouse Drive NE of the site

Photo 14. Stabilized Construction Entrance on Cole Fieldhouse Drive NE of the site
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820  Inspector: Oladapo John
Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County
Inspection Date: May 2, 2018
Start Date/Time: May 2, 2018, 12:00 PM
End Date/Time: May 2, 2018, 01:00 PM
Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM
Contact(s): Justin Hooper — Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit/Approval Numbers: MDRCQ80K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required

Inspection Reason: Follow-up (Non-Compliance)

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I made a follow-up inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. The weather today was very humid with a temperature of 92°F.

Visual observation still shows ongoing excavation of foundation footing for the proposed outdoor athletic field, the facility clinic and research center. The tunnel boring is scheduled to begin once all boring equipments are onsite.

Visual observation and photos still shows most of the violations listed in my field inspection report on the 26th of April 2018. As of today, contractor had just reinstalled silt fence along Fieldhouse Road adjacent the sediment tank NW of the site.

Per my recommendations, mend or replace all failing erosion and sediment controls, add more stones to the stabilize construction entrances NE and NW on Fieldhouse Road and west of the site. Finally, at the new tennis court, stabilize exposed soil adjacent the active stormwater management pond near the sport center.

The NPDES inspection logbook was not reviewed during this inspection. A follow-up inspection will be made next week.

NPDES Construction Activity - Inspection Checklist
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Article 84-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(d)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.41(h)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Were visible pollutants observed in the receiving waters or in a position</td>
<td>No Violations observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>likely to pollute water of the State? [Environment Article §9-322]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State/Federal SWM**

Permit/Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: Routine Scheduled

Evidence Collected:

Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:

Photo evidence and visual observations of the site today still show most of the violations listed in my previous field
inspection report on the 26th of April 2018. See above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. If a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(e)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>Not Evaluated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John
Oladapo.John/Date oladapo.john@maryland.gov 301-665-2850

Received by: [Signature/Date]
Print Name: Justin Hooper

Report Provided to:
Photo 1. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 2. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 3. Shows undermined SSF and exposed soil adjacent stormwater management pond at the tennis court.

Photo 4. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 7. Stabilize Construction Entrance SW of the site

Photo 8. Super Silt Fence SW of the site
Photo 9. Super Silt Fence SW of the site

Photo 14. Stabilized Construction Entrance on Cole Fieldhouse Drive NW of the site
Photo 15. Stabilized Construction Entrance on Cole Fieldhouse Drive NW of the site

Photo 16. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 17. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 18. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Maryland Department of Environment
Water and Science Administration
Compliance Program - Western Division
91 Eastern Blvd, Hagerstown, MD 21740
301-665-2850

AI ID: 149820
Inspector: Oladapo John

Site Name: New Cole Fieldhouse
Facility Address: 4090 Union Dr, College Park, MD 20742
County: Prince George's County

Inspection Date: April 26, 2018
Start Date/Time: April 26, 2018, 10:30 AM
End Date/Time: April 26, 2018, 12:00 PM

Media Type(s): NPDES Construction Activity, State/federal SWM

Contact(s): Justin Hooper – Gilbane LLC (Site Superintendent)
Mike Coleman – Gilbane LLC (Assistance Site Superintendent)

NPDES Construction Activity
Permit / Approval Numbers: MDRCQS0K8

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Continue Routine Investigation

Inspection Reason: Routine Scheduled

Evidence Collected:
Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
This date, I conducted an unscheduled inspection at the above site to determine the compliance status of the ongoing project. Temperature today is in the mid-60s, clear and sunny weather condition.

I met the aforementioned individuals onsite, Justin Hooper advised work is at the phase of boring the proposed tunnel from the existing stadium to the newly built indoor Cole Fieldhouse north of the site off old Fieldhouse Drive, also ongoing is the excavation of foundation footing for the proposed research center, outdoor athletic field and the facility clinic.

During my walkthrough inspection of the site with the superintendent, he explained non-stormwater encountered during excavation are treated through two separate tanks sediment and flocculants tanks respectively. Water in the tanks discharge via gravitational flow to filter bags prior to discharge into adjacent stormdrains. Photo evidence confirmed this statement.

Mr. Justin further explained since the excavation commenced for the proposed tunnel, the non-stormwater encountered in the process were observed to be of high in pH value, the reason for the use of the floculation tank. Using the floculation tank, contractor still records a moderately high pH values ranging between 8 and 8.5 after each sampling. For this reason, Mr. Justin mentioned that Gilbane LLC will add carbon dioxide (CO2) capsules to the floculation tank so as to bring the pH to the lowest neutral value.
Although the NPDES inspection shows both weekly and post rain inspections, inspection today with the both Gilbane LLC representatives shows the following violations and recommendations to bring site into compliance.

**New Tennis Courts**
Visual observation and photo evidence shows disturbed area within the LOD at the new tennis court not stabilize. Sediment pollution is imminent in the stormwater pond adjacent the tennis court considered a tributary of the Paint Branch Stream in the event of rainfall. Temporary stabilize exposed soil as need be prior to sod stabilization.

**Stadium Tunnel, Research Center, Athletic Field and Center Clinic**
Visual observation and photos shows so many erosion and sediment control deficiencies, which includes failing super silt fences (SSF) at the steep slope near the proposed tunnel north of the site, west of the site at the proposed research center, clinic and the athletic field visual observation today shows installed perimeter controls unmaintained. Photo evidence also shows nonfunctional stabilize construction entrances (SCB) at the site today.

Mend or replace the failing erosion and sediment controls, add more stones to the stabilize construction entrances on Fieldhouse Road and west of the site per our conversation today. A follow-up inspection will be made Wednesday the 2nd of May 2018.

### NPDES Construction Activity- Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the responsible person acquired the General or Individual Permit for Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the site have an approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has the site designed, installed and maintained effective erosion controls and sediment controls to minimize the discharge of pollutants? [40 CFR 450.21(a)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Are the required records available, including plans, copy of NOI, General Permit, permit coverage documentation, public posting of permit coverage, transfer of authorization documentation if applicable, inspection reports (weekly, post-rain event, after significant discharge of sediment) on the standard form or other form approved for use by Compliance Program? [40 CFR 122.41(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are all systems of treatment and control used by permittee properly operated and maintained? [40 CFR 122.41(e)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site in compliance with the prohibition against non-stormwater discharges (including concrete washout), and is the discharge of other pollutants minimized (such as wash waters, chemical spills, and trash)? [40 CFR 450.21(d, e)]</td>
<td>No Violations</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State\federal SWM

Permit / Approval Numbers: 16-SF-0061

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Additional Investigation Required, Continue Routine Investigation

Inspection Reason: Routine Scheduled

Evidence Collected:
Visual Observation

Inspection Findings:
Photo evidence and visual observation of the site today shows all the violation listed in the above NPDES Construction Activity field inspection report.

Recommendation;
Adhere to the permit requirements, install all controls per the approved plan. A follow-up inspection will be made next week.

### State\federal SWM - Inspection Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there an Soil Conservation District approved erosion and sediment control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(i)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Is a state/federal project, is there an approved sediment and erosion control plan for the project? [Environment Article §4-106]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Are the erosion and sediment controls being implemented as per the approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is the sequence of construction being followed as per approved sediment and erosion control plan? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(ii)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Are the sediment and erosion controls being maintained? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(iv)]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Item</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the site implementing any additional measures necessary to control sediment runoff? [Environment Article §4-105(a)(3)(v)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Is the responsible person (foreman, superintendent, or project engineer) onsite green card certified? [Environment Article §4-104(b)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is sediment pollution occurring or has soil or sediment been placed in a condition likely to wash into waters of the State? [Environment Article §4-413]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. If the site is greater than or equal to 1 acre of disturbance, has the site acquired the General or Individual Permit for Construction Activity (if applicable to GPCA form)? [COMAR 26.08.04.09A(2)]</td>
<td>No Violations Observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is the site complying with the temporary and/or permanent stabilization requirements of the approved plan? [COMAR 26.17.01.07]</td>
<td>Out of Compliance</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inspector: Oladapo John

Oladapo John/Date
oladapo.john@maryland.gov
301-665-2850

Received by: Justin Hooper GRX

Report Provided to:
- [ ] Fax
- [ ] Email
- [ ] Regular Mail
- [ ] Certified Mail
Photo 1. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.

Photo 2. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 3. Shows sediment saturated storm water management pond at the new tennis Court.

Photo 4. Shows exposed soil adjacent storm water management pond at the new tennis court.
Photo 5. Stormdrain requires a grate inlet protection at the new Tennis Court.

Photo 6. Stormdrain requires a grate inlet protection at the new Tennis Court.
Photo 7. Sediment placed on paved surface at the new tennis court with potentials to impact the stormdrain

Photo 8. Sediment placed on paved surface at the new tennis court with potentials to impact the stormdrain
Photo 9. Stabilize Construction Entrance SW of the site

Photo 10. Stabilize Construction Entrance SW of the site
Photo 13. Super Silt Fence SW of the site

Photo 14. Disturbed area within the LOD without any E and S controls off Cole Fieldhouse Drive NW of the site
Photo 15. Unmaintained E and S controls at the slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 16. Unmaintained E and S controls at the slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site
Photo 17. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site

Photo 18. Unmaintained E and S controls at the Slope of the Tunnel Project North of the Site